UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,477	06/07/2005	Chih-Chang Chu	CHUC3007	2280
23364 BACON & THO	7590 09/16/200 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE			HAIDER, SAIRA BANO	
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,477	CHU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAIRA HAIDER	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application Papers  Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application the application the application is/are pending in the application is/are pending in the application pending is/are pending in the application	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/538,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ekman et al. (US 4,822,535) in view of Moiser (US 4,492,720), Hatsuda (US 6,194,531), and Cruise (Biomaterials).
- 3. Ekman discloses method of producing small spherical polymer particles from systems containing two liquid phases, the one phase of which contains one or more dissolved substances and is dispersed in the form of small droplets in the other phase to form an emulsion, whereafter the droplets are converted to a solid form. The liquid phases used are two mutually immiscible aqueous phases (abstract). Ekman notes that whole (living) cells, cell organelles, solid particles or small oil droplets can be encapsulated when practicing the invention (col. 8, lines 15-17).
- 4. In example 6, Ekman discloses the preparation of spherical particles of cross-liked dextran. The process involves preparing a first aqueous solution of acryldextran ( $M_w$ =40,000). Ekman discloses that acryldextran which functions as both the monomer and a crosslinker. Next, a second aqueous solution is then prepared from polyethylene glycol ( $M_w$ =6,000). The first aqueous solution is added to the second aqueous solution and emulsified, wherein the first aqueous solution is the inner phase (the droplets). Polymerization is initiated via a catalyst. The particles are collected via filtration.
- 5. Ekman fails to explicitly disclose the size of the droplets; however Ekman notes that the particle size of the solid particles obtained can be controlled in all of the disclosed embodiments in a manner known per se, for example by stirring with varying intensities or by selecting suitable

Art Unit: 1796

viscosities for the various phases. In the case of the system polyethylene glycol-starch the particle size can also be regulated by selection of the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycol, a polyethylene glycol of higher molecular weight providing larger particles (col. 7, lines 66 to col. 8, lines 4). Thus attention is directed to the Moiser reference, which discloses a method of preparing microspheres for intravascular delivery. Specifically, Moiser creates the microspheres via formation of an emulsion of two phases, wherein the droplets that comprise the dispersed phase have average sizes in the range of 50-150 microns (col. 4, lines 3-26). Wherein the resulting microspheres are in the range of 50-350 microns and are rendered suitable for administration of therapeutic agents and diagnostic agents via intra-arterial delivery (col. 1, lines 49-59). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to alter the Ekman process conditions (as taught by Ekman) in order to form droplets and resulting microcapsules suitable for intra-arterial delivery, as taught by Moiser.

- 6. Ekman applies as above and notes that polyethylene glycol is preferably the continuous phase (col. 3, lines 19-24); however Ekman discloses that suitable two-phase systems of polymeric aqueous solutions include dextran/polyethylene glycol/water and polyethylene glycol/dextran sulphate/water (col. 1, line 66 to col. 2, line 19). Accordingly, it is readily envisaged that polyethylene glycol is the dispersed phase and dextran is the continuous phase.
- 7. It is noted that Ekman discloses polyethylene glycol as the dispersed phase, but fails to disclose the claimed polyethylene glycol diacrylate monomer, as claimed. Thus attention is directed to the Hatusda reference, which discloses the use of polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEGDA) monomers as a crosslinking agent in the formation of hydrogels particulates (col. 19, lines 28-63). Wherein it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the crosslinking PEGDA monomers of Hatusda in the microcapsule emulsion of Ekman and Moiser in order to

Art Unit: 1796

improve the strength of the microcapsule via crosslinking and thereby increase the sustained release of the core material.

- 8. The Hatsuda reference fails to disclose the claimed PEG molecular weight of the PEGDA, thus attention is directed to the Cruise reference which discloses PEGDA with a PEG precursor having molecular weights in the range of 2K to 20K. Cruise discloses that selection of the PEG molecular weight for the PEGDA results in different protein impermeabilities. For example PEGDA formed using a PEG having a molecular weight of 2K, 4K, or 8K resulted in a hydrogel impermeable to proteins larger than 22kDa (such as myoglobin), and PEGDA formed using a PEG having a molecular weight of 20K resulted in a hydrogel impermeable to proteins larger than 45kDa (such as ovalbumin) (abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to control the molecular weight of the PEG precursor of PEGDA in order to ensure impermeability of certain sized protein molecules.
- 9. The PEG molecular weight of PEGDA is recognized as a result-effective variable because changing it will clearly affect the type of product obtained. Wherein an increase in the PEG molecular weight to 20K will result in a hydrogel impermeable to proteins larger than 45kDa. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a PEGDA having a PEG of 20K in order to ensure impermeability of proteins such as ovalbumin.
- 10. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ekman et al. (US 4,822,535) in view of Moiser (US 4,492,720), Hatsuda (US 6,194,531), and Cruise (Biomaterials), and in further view of Nelson (US 6,596,296).
- 11. In reference to claims 3-4, Ekman applies as above but fails to disclose the claimed second hydrogel precursor as N-isopropylacrylamide. Thus attention is directed to the Nelson reference,

Art Unit: 1796

which discloses drug releasing biodegradable fiber implants. Specifically, Nelson discloses polymer hydrogel nanospheres loaded with biological molecules, wherein useful polymer hydrogels include N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPA). Nelson recognizes NIPA gels as having the ability to undergo dramatic volume changes of 100 fold in response to small (2-3°C) temperature change; specifically, the phase transition can be adjusted to occurs at 38-39 °C such that the nanosphere is responsive to the physiological state of the patient. The nanospheres release the drug in response to an increase in the body temperature of a patient. (Example 4 at col. 20, line 40-67). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include NIPA in the polymer hydrogel taught by Ekman, Moiser, Hatsuda, and Cruise above in order to form a composition which readily releases the active core component upon a temperature change.

12. In reference to claims 5-7, it is noted that Ekman discloses these limitations. Specifically, Ekman discloses dextran as a suitable continuous phase and Ekman exemplifies utilization of dextran with  $M_w$  of 40,000 (Example 4). Further, Ekman discloses the inclusion of water soluble salts such as magnesium sulphate which will reduce the solubility of dextran in the water (col. 2, lines 21-36). Wherein utilization of such disclosure of Ekman in the invention taught by the combination of references would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAIRA HAIDER whose telephone number is (571)272-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,477 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Saira Haider Examiner Art Unit 1796